



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,201	03/01/2002	Toshiaki Hayashi	021525-000200US 8595	
75	90 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP			NGUYEN, HUNG T	
8th Floor Two Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco,			2636	10
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

en of the	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/087,201	HAYASHI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FOR THE THEORY IN THE PLACE THEORY IN THE PLACE THEORY IN THE PLACE THEORY IN THE PLACE	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		-			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period dee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee in the final the fi	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	,				
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	_					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		\				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	\	he Examiner.	<b>1</b>			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		/ /			
10.	\$	EFFERY HOP SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	it examiner			
		$\smile$				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-20 are still rejected. Please see Final Office Action Rejections and responses.